

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,971	06/30/2003	Yoshikazu Kobayashi	040447-0250	6957
22428 7	590 06/26/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			JAMAL, ALEXANDER	
SUITE 500			100 1000	DARRE WE COM
3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2614	_

DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/607,971	KOBAYASHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alexander Jamal	2614			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exten after : - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONED	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	ne 2003.				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	,—					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application and/or the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
_	· Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine	•				
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	937 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[∑	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment	• •					
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) 🛭 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/607,971

Art Unit: 2614

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claims 1-20, each claim references 'identification/determination means'.

It is not clear whether these are the same means or two separate means. For the purpose of examination, examiner assumes that 'identification/determination means' has been changed to 'determination means'.

Additionally, as per claim 3, the claim recites, 'identifying/determining that the numbers are a telephone number when a part of the numbers is preset numbers and the first preset number of digits and numbers followed by the part of the numbers are the second preset number of digits'. It is not clear what the claim is referring to. For the purpose of examination, examiner assumes the claim means that the device determines that a phone number has been scanned by recognizing a predetermined number followed by another set of variable digits, where there is a predetermined number of variable digits.

3. Regarding claims 17-20, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See

Art Unit: 2614

MPEP § 2173.05(d). For the purpose of examination, examiner assumes the telephone number that is substituted in place of the recognized one is any valid type of telephone number in any communications system.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Herzig et al. (6594503).

As per claim 1, Herzig discloses a telephone system with an OCR device that recognizes telephone numbers written (printed or handwritten) on a sheet (Abstract, Col 2 lines 20-25). The numbers are recognized by being continuous with a recognized character code (Col 2 lines 30-35) such as the http://www..., or other indicators (Col 2 line 65 to Col 3 line 5). The system comprises display means (Col 4 lines 30-55) where a user may verify then cal (DIAL) the recognized number.

As per claim 2, claim rejected for the same reasons as the claim 1 rejection.

As per claim 3, claim rejected for the same reasons as the claim 1 rejection. The device may recognize a known part of a number (Col 2 lines 35-40) such as the '0611'

Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

example given, and then further recognize a variable combination (as represented by the ellipsis). The combination inherently has a known number of digits depending on the type of telephone number being detected as per the defined protocol for the type of phone number.

As per claim 4, claim rejected for the same reasons as the claim 1 rejection. The system can detect hyphens as part of the recognized phone number (Col 2 lines 55-65).

As per claims 5-8, the detected number is displayed and can either be dialed (confirmed) or rejected, which permits a user to correct the number (such as by scanning the number in again) (Col 4 lines 40-60).

As per **claims 9-12**, the detected number may be stored to a telephone directory memory (Col 2 lines 55-60).

As per claims 13-16, since the device allows users to store detected telephone numbers, the users may sequentially dial the stored telephone numbers (to sequentially make calls).

As per claims 17-20, the device may detect a certain number and then replace the detected number with another telephone number, such as an internet address or name that is replaced by an ip address or prestored telephone number (Col 2 lines 30-40).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,971

Art Unit: 2614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications.

ΑJ

June 21, 2006

Page 5

TITUDE PAYENT EXAMINER